EDUC 451 — INQUIRY SEMINAR II: designing learning – Revised July 2017

Elementary/Middle Years (2 credits)

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Inquiry Seminar II is designed to provide teacher candidates with an opportunity to:

* engage in teacher inquiry around a question,
* extend and integrate their engagement in teacher inquiry based on a question/proposal developed during Inquiry Seminar I and on the context of the extended practicum
* share their progress in exploring/researching/contextualizing their inquiry publicly with colleagues,
* explore and design links between the theoretical and practical perspectives related to their inquiry question and their emerging practice as a teacher.

The role of the instructor is that of advisor during the inquiry exploration process. Teacher candidates will have constructed (in EDUC 450-Inquiry I) a proposal for how they will advance their learning in relation to their identified area of interest, for example, through research/reading, engaging with colleagues (as well as teachers and advisors), and reflecting on practice based on what they are doing or seeing. Suggested elements for the Inquiry Seminar II are:

Consultation/collaborative sessions to explore and refine one’s inquiry: Working with one’s instructor and/or colleagues to develop one’s thinking about the inquiry question, sharing progress and engaging in a feedback cycle.

Representation: Sharing one’s progress in exploring one’s inquiry question (through educational research, consulting resources, engaging with colleagues, reflecting on experiences), e.g., through a professional blog, cohort-based seminars (round tables) or other means.

Links to practice: Designing learning

 Making connections between one’s inquiry question and one’s emerging

practice as a teacher; may be in direct relation to one’s practicum or more broadly to one’s teaching in general. It is recommended that links be integrated throughout the inquiry process and be explored during school visits with one’s school- and/or faculty- advisor/s.

EDUC 450, 451, 452: Inquiry Seminars I, II, III

The inquiry process across the BEd (Elementary/Middle Years) program consists of

* learning about teacher inquiry (EDUC 450 – Inquiry I)
* preparingto explore an inquiry question (EDUC 450 – Inquiry I)
* developing and sharingone’s inquiry process (EDUC 451 – Inquiry II)
* exploring links to practice and integrating the question into practicum planning (EDUC 451 – Inquiry II)
* reflectingon the inquiry process, links to practice, ongoing questions, learning over the year and developing professional learning goals (EDUC 452 – Inquiry III)

Exploration of an inquiry question should demonstrate an emerging ability to

* engage substantively with a selected topic,
* inform an understanding of the topic through careful reading of the literature (including a wide variety of information sources), grounded in an understanding of significant issues, perspectives, assumptions,
* position oneself in relation to ideas discussed,
* grapple with and integrate, reflections on what they are seeing, hearing and trying in the context of educational practice in schools in weekly visits and in preparation for practicum.
* consider educational issues critically.

|  |
| --- |
| *Consultation/Collaboration/Integration: a broad framework* |
| Topic | Teacher candidates explore their inquiry question collaboratively with the instructor and/or peers; individuals and groups meet with course instructor to advance their thinking and for regular reporting and feedback. |
|  | Teacher candidates learn collaboratively with their instructor and/or peers (about their readings, ideas and questions); they engage critically with other questions generated by their peers; they respond to questions about their own inquiry journey from instructor and peers. They explore the topic through a variety of resources including journals, books, web based information such as educators’ professional blogs, educational discussion forums and conversations with other educators. |
| *Representation of Process* |
| Topic | Making inquiry process (synthesis of learning) public through such means as a multi-media sharing, professional blog, cohort-based seminar (round tables) or other. |
|  | Teacher candidates share their inquiry journey with their peers, instructor, school and/or faculty advisors. Sharing occurs at various points throughout the term and is not intended to take the form of one major summative project. This may take the form of a critically reflective post to a blog, cross cohort or subject area discussions, an infographic, or other. |
| *Links to Practice* |
| Topic | Making connections between one’s inquiry journey, emerging practice as a teacher and designing learning in preparation for practicum. |
|  | Teacher candidates link what they are learning in their inquiry process to their practice and planning as a beginning teacher. This may take the form of integrating what they learn from practice into their exploration of their inquiry question, as well as planning for integration of the inquiry topic into one’s practicum setting or more broadly into one’s future teaching in general. They explore links to practice as they learn through methodology courses and plan for practicum. They participate in the practicum planning process and make specific connections to their inquiry while planning for practicum. |

ASSIGNMENTS

1. Sharing of one’s inquiry journey

The inquiry process is driven by the teacher candidate’s own questions, developing areas of interest and/or identified areas of need. Inquiry questions may be related a theme (e.g., the cohort theme), a disciplinary topic (e.g., literacy learning, numeracy), a particular curriculum emphasis (e.g., cross-curricular pedagogies, formative assessment) or an educational issue (e.g., teaching for social justice, exclusionary policies).

a) Exploration of inquiry question

During the weeks devoted to teacher candidates’ inquiry process, classes engage teacher candidates in various forms of collaborative inquiry. This may take the form of group consultation sessions with the cohort instructor, where the instructor takes the role of advisor, or other kinds of opportunities for peers to learn with and from one another. Teacher candidates embark on their inquiries, meeting with the instructor and/or colleagues regularly to report on progress and to receive feedback.

b) Sharing of inquiry process

Teacher candidates will engage in sharing their learning in a formative way throughout the course, for example, through ongoing discussions and opportunities to receive feedback on their emerging understandings. A synthesis of their inquiry learning shared in EDUC 451 represents one step on a continuing journey of understanding that will be revisited at various points including during EDUC 452 after the extended practicum

 C) Links to practice

Teacher candidates will explore links between their inquiry question and what they have learned thus far and their emerging role as a teacher and integrate elements of their questions into their planning for practicum, as appropriate. Ideally attention to links to practice is integrated into a synthesis of how the teacher candidate is learning through inquiry. This may also take the form of a planning outline for integration of the inquiry into one’s practicum setting or more broadly into one’s future teaching in general.

PLEASE NOTE THE FOLLOWING ABOUT EXPLORING YOUR INQUIRY QUESTION

Exploring one’s inquiry question during field experiences, e.g., sharing with school and/or faculty advisors during your weekly visits and/or practica in schools) is one way to learn – in addition to consulting the literature, considering media, policy statements, and other sources of information.*Please note that citations or any other data collected from students, teachers or others from the field may NOT be included in any written material related to your inquiry.*

Citing an article that is already publicly available is part and parcel of academic practice; no permissions are necessary because the author(s) have, of their own volition, represented their ideas in a public manner.

Citing a human subject (e.g., expert) involves a researcher recording, interpreting, and representing the ideas of the human subject in the public where the human subject has no control over that interpretation or representation; to protect the human subject, therefore, protocols (ethical approval by UBC’s Behavioural Research Ethics Board) are required in advance, including demonstration that the researcher has fully informed the human subject about the purpose of the research and the research questions; that conditions of anonymity will be created in so far as possible, etc. Such ethical approval and, therefore, direct citation is beyond the scope of the inquiry process outlined in EDUC 450 & 451.
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